

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

)	Case No.: 11-CV-02509-LHK
)	
IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	DENYING IN PART MOTION TO
)	SEAL; GRANTING MOTIONS TO
)	REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED
)	DOCUMENTS
)	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)	
)	
ALL ACTIONS)	
)	
)	

Pending before the Court are administrative motions to file under seal and various motions to remove incorrectly filed documents. The Court GRANTS IN PART and DENIES WITH PREJUDICE IN PART the administrative motions to file under seal and GRANTS the motions to remove incorrectly filed documents for the reasons stated below.

I. ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO FILE UNDER SEAL

A. Class Certification Briefing

The parties filed numerous administrative motions to file under seal in connection with the briefing on Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Motion for Class Certification. The Court granted in part and

denied in part these motions on March 14, 2014. *See* ECF No. 730. Some of the denials were without prejudice to Defendants' narrowing of requests that the Court found overbroad.

Defendants filed a renewed administrative motion to file under seal the documents regarding which the Court denied without prejudice previous administrative motions to file under seal. *See* ECF No. 773. Applying the "good cause" standard set forth in this Court's March 14, 2014 order, *see* ECF No. 730 at 1-3, the Court rules as follows on the renewed motion:¹

ECF No.	Document	Result
773	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 173	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 176	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 472	DENIED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 608	DENIED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 614	DENIED
774	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 616	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 621	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 660	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 666	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 668	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 674	DENIED as to the second bullet points under number 3 on GOOG-HIGH-TECH-00252602. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1600	GRANTED
775	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1606	DENIED as to GOOG-HIGH-TECH-00036301. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1609	DENIED as to 1609.3, 1609.7, 1609.9. GRANTED as to the rest.
776	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1613	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1618	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1625	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1629	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1753	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2422	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2425	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2426	DENIED as to bullet point 3 on 2426.3. However, the actual percentage numbers may be sealed. GRANTED as to the rest.
778	Cisneros Decl., Ex. Q	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages 71, 79, 80 (lines 1 and 2), 82 (lines 21-23). GRANTED as to the rest.

¹ All denials are with prejudice as the parties have already had an opportunity to amend their sealing requests.

779	Cisneros Decl., Ex. R	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages 177-80. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. S	GRANTED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 232-33. DENIED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. T	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on 38-39. GRANTED as to the rest.
780	Cisneros Decl., Ex. V	DENIED.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. W	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on page 46. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. X	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. EE	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 14	GRANTED
	Shaver Decl., Ex. E	GRANTED
	Shaver Decl., Ex. K	GRANTED
	Shaver Decl., Ex. O	GRANTED
781	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1130	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1376	GRANTED
	Kahn Decl., Ex. 2	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 57-58. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Kahn Dec., Ex. 13	GRANTED
782	Brown Decl., Ex 8	GRANTED as to all material sought to be sealed before Page 217, Line 11. DENIED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. FF	DENIED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. II	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. JJ	GRANTED
	Kahn Deck., Ex. 7	DENIED
	Shaver Decl., Ex. J	DENIED
785	Brown Decl., Ex. 9	GRANTED
	Kahn Decl., Ex. 8	GRANTED
	Shaver Decl., Ex. F	GRANTED

B. Summary Judgment and *Daubert* Briefing

Defendants also move to seal various documents related to the summary judgment and *Daubert* briefing. *See* ECF No. 790.² Defendants concede that these documents may only be sealed if compelling reasons support sealing. *Id.* at 2. This Court has described the “compelling reasons” standard as follows:

Historically, courts have recognized a “general right to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records.” *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 & n.7 (1978). “Unless a particular court record is one ‘traditionally kept

² Defendants had initially filed broader requests. At the hearing on the summary judgment and *Daubert* motions, Defendants voluntarily agreed to withdraw previous requests and to file this narrower request. Because Defendants have already had an opportunity to narrow requests, all denials are with prejudice.

secret,’ a ‘strong presumption in favor of access’ is the starting point.” *Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).

To overcome this strong presumption, a party seeking to seal a judicial record must articulate “compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.” *Id.* at 1178-79 (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). “In general, ‘compelling reasons’ . . . exist when such ‘court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes,’ such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets.” *Id.* at 1179 (citing *Nixon*, 435 U.S. at 598). “The mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant’s embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records.” *Id.* (citing *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1136).

ECF No. 730 at 1-2. Applying the “compelling reasons” standard, the Court rules as follows on Defendants’ motion to seal documents related to the summary judgment and *Daubert* briefing:

ECF No.	Document	Result
791	Harvey Decl., Ex. 140	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 142	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 143	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 11, 64, and 65. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 145	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 6 and 18. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 146	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 7-11, 14. GRANTED as to the rest.
792	Harvey Decl., Ex. 148	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 149	GRANTED
793	Harvey Decl., Ex. 150	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on the page bearing the label 76579DOC002323_000021. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 152	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 153	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on the page bearing the label 76592DOC015628. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 391	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 393	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 398	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on page bearing the label 76579DOC005962. GRANTED as to the rest.
794	Exhibit 8	GRANTED
	Exhibit 163	GRANTED
	Exhibit 170	GRANTED
	Exhibit 171	GRANTED
	Exhibit 359	GRANTED
	Exhibit 360	GRANTED

	Exhibit 690	GRANTED
	Exhibit 710	GRANTED
	Exhibit 711	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 10 and 18. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Exhibit 715	GRANTED
	Exhibit 727	GRANTED
	Exhibit 728	GRANTED
	Exhibit 729	GRANTED
	Exhibit 730	GRANTED
795-96	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 399	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on page 8. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 400	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on page 17. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 478	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 781	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2030	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2031	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2033	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2035	GRANTED
797	Cisneros Decl., Ex. MM	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. PP	DENIED. Proposed redactions are overbroad.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. QQ	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 912	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 914	GRANTED
798	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2043	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2356	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. HH	GRANTED
799	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 210	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 211	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 212	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 214	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 216	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 300	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 303	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 416	DENIED. Proposed redactions are overbroad and include arguments and facts already publicly disclosed (e.g., "Adobe assigned each job code a broad salary range. Because of the breadth of salary ranges, actual base salary could and did vary significantly among employees with the same job code.").
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1158	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1159	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1160	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1250	GRANTED
800	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1107	GRANTED

	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1760	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1761	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2135	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on page 2135.5. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2140	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2142	GRANTED
801	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2486	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 6-8, 10, 17, 20, 23, 31, 33, and 34. GRANTED as to the rest.
802	Exhibit 945	GRANTED
	Exhibit 949	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2084	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2088	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2092	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2094	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2096	GRANTED
	Exhibit 2100	GRANTED
	Exhibit RR	GRANTED
	Exhibit SS	GRANTED
	Exhibit TT	GRANTED
	Exhibit UU	GRANTED
	Exhibit VV	GRANTED
	Exhibit WW	GRANTED
803	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2362	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2364	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2366	GRANTED
804	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2487	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on page 2487.17. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2501	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2800	DENIED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2812	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2823	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2825	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. A	DENIED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. B	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. C	DENIED. Proposed redactions are overbroad (e.g., Q. “What do you mean by ‘incentive payments’ or ‘incentive compensation’?”).
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. D	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages before page 108. GRANTED as to material sought to be sealed on page 108 and all pages thereafter.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. F	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages 109-11. GRANTED as to the rest.
805	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 173	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 175	GRANTED

1		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 176	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 190	GRANTED
2		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 192	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 200	GRANTED
3		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 201	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 204	GRANTED
4		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 278	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 279	GRANTED
5	806	Exhibit 129	GRANTED
6		Exhibit 134	GRANTED
		Exhibit 172	GRANTED
7		Exhibit 177	GRANTED
		Exhibit 178	GRANTED
8		Exhibit 179	GRANTED
		Exhibit 180	GRANTED
9		Exhibit 420	GRANTED
10		Exhibit 421	GRANTED
		Exhibit 424	GRANTED
11		Exhibit 947	GRANTED
12	807	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 389	GRANTED.
13		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 471	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on page 471.1. GRANTED as to the rest.
14		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 472	DENIED. Statements that Big Bang may “put pressure on pay for coveted technical jobs and increase pay systematically for these jobs” is critical to public understanding of this case.
15			
16		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 608	DENIED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 614	DENIED
17		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 616	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 621	GRANTED
18		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 626	DENIED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 635	GRANTED
19		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 648	GRANTED
20	808 & 813	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2738	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2739	GRANTED
21		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2740	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2743	GRANTED
22		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2744	GRANTED
23	811	Harvey Decl., Ex. 34	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 35	GRANTED
24		Harvey Decl., Ex. 37	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 41	DENIED
25		Harvey Decl., Ex. 42	GRANTED
26		Harvey Decl., Ex. 43	DENIED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 44	GRANTED
27		Harvey Decl., Ex. 45	GRANTED
28			

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1		Harvey Decl., Ex. 48	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 49	GRANTED
2		Harvey Decl., Ex. 50	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 51	GRANTED
3		Harvey Decl., Ex. 52	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 53	GRANTED
4		Harvey Decl., Ex. 54	GRANTED
		Harvey Decl., Ex. 189	GRANTED
5	814	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 650	GRANTED
6		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 653	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 660	GRANTED
7		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 666	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 667	GRANTED
8		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 668	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 674	GRANTED
9		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 872	GRANTED
10		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1600	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1613	GRANTED
11	815	Harvey Decl., Ex. 157	GRANTED
12		Harvey Decl., Ex. 159	GRANTED
	816	Exhibit 1304	GRANTED
13		Exhibit 1306	GRANTED
		Exhibit 1307	GRANTED
14		Exhibit 1308	GRANTED
		Exhibit 1309	GRANTED
15		Exhibit 2356	GRANTED
16		Exhibit XX	GRANTED
17		Exhibit YY	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on pages 209-10. GRANTED as to the rest.
18		Exhibit ZZ	GRANTED
		Exhibit AAA	GRANTED
19		Exhibit BBB	GRANTED
		Exhibit CCC	GRANTED
20	817	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1618	GRANTED
21		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1625	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1629	GRANTED
22		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1753	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2362	GRANTED above.
23		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2364	GRANTED above.
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2366	GRANTED above.
24	818	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2422	GRANTED
25		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2425	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2426	GRANTED
26	819	Cisneros Decl., Ex. U	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages 44, 52, and 71. GRANTED as to the rest.
27		Cisneros Decl., Ex. V	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages
28			

		176-80. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. W	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. X	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. Z	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. BB	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. CC	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. DD	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed on pages 63-66. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. JJ	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. LL	GRANTED
820	Harvey Decl., Ex. 76	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 77	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 78	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 80	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 85	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 89	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 90	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 95	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 97	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 100	GRANTED
821	Harvey Decl., Ex. 103	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 106	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 108	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 110	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 111	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 112	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 113	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 114	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 115	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 117	GRANTED
822	Harvey Decl., Ex. 118	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 119	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 120	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 121	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 122	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 123	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 125	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 126	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 127	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 128	GRANTED
823	Harvey Decl., Ex. 129	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 130	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 131	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 132	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 133	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 134	GRANTED

	Harvey Decl., Ex. 135	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 136	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 137	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 138	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 139	GRANTED
825	Google's Motion for Summary Judgment ("MSJ")	DENIED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 15	GRANTED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 16	GRANTED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 17	GRANTED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 19	GRANTED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 32	DENIED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 34	GRANTED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 35	DENIED
	Google MSJ, Ex. 36	DENIED
826	Harvey Decl., Ex. 124	GRANTED
	Evans Decl., Ex. 1	GRANTED
827	Harvey Decl., Ex. 94	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 96	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 98	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 101	GRANTED
828	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 172	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1606	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 86 ³	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed on the page bearing the label GOOG-HIGH-TECH-0023519 (Microsoft Recruiting Protocol). GRANTED as to the rest.
831	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1741	DENIED as to Microsoft Recruiting Protocol. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 91	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 92	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 93	GRANTED
832	Alan Manning Expert Report	DENIED
	Matthew Marx Rebuttal Report	DENIED
	Kevin Hallock Expert Report (May 10, 2013)	GRANTED as to the material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 38, 41, 42, 50, 61, 86, 94, 96, 107, 116, 156, 170, 199, 215, 216, and 217, the names in paragraph 115, and the names and numbers in paragraph 169. DENIED as to the rest.
	Kevin Hallock Expert Report (October 27, 2013)	DENIED to the extent the sealing request was denied as to the May 10, 2013 expert report. GRANTED as to the rest.

³ The full unredacted, under seal version of this document is at ECF No. 861.

	Kevin Hallock Deposition	GRANTED
	Kathryn Shaw Deposition	GRANTED
835	Google MSJ, Ex. 18	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 87	GRANTED
837	Harvey Decl., Ex. 58	DENIED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 59	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 60	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 61	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 62	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 63	DENIED as to the following language: “He will be taking a pay cut to join our group (internal equity issues)” and “we’ll be giving him a pay cut due to internal equity.” GRANTED as to the rest.
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 64	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 66	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 67	GRANTED
838	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1609	GRANTED
	Lauren Stiroh Expert Report	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 21, 23, 32, 38, and 60. GRANTED as to the rest.
839	Betsey Becker Expert Report	DENIED as to the material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 35, 40, 44, 54, 62, 71, 142, 144, 147, 148, 149. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Betsey Becker Expert Report Appendices	GRANTED
840	Harvey Decl., Ex. 68	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 69	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 70	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 71	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 72	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 73	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 74	GRANTED
	Harvey Decl., Ex. 75	GRANTED
841	Betsey Becker Expert Report Attachments	GRANTED
842	Edward Snyder Expert Report	GRANTED
	Eric Talley Expert Report	GRANTED
844	David Lewin Expert Report	DENIED as to material sought to be sealed in paragraphs 30, 71, and 84. GRANTED as to the rest.
	Supplement to David Lewin Expert Report	GRANTED
845	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 268	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 278	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 279	GRANTED
	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 861	GRANTED

1		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1130	GRANTED
2		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1376	GRANTED
3		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1854	GRANTED
4	846	Kevin Murphy Expert Report	GRANTED
5	847 & 849	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1855	GRANTED
6	848	Kevin Murphy Expert Report	GRANTED
7	851	Kevin Murphy Supplemental Expert Report	GRANTED
8	852	Talley Deposition	DENIED
9	853	Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1856	GRANTED
10		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1858	GRANTED
11		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 1859	GRANTED
12		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2249	GRANTED
13		Cisneros Decl., Ex. 2262	GRANTED
14	856	Edward Leamer Expert Report (Oct. 1, 2012)	DENIED as to Figures 3 and 4 on page 23, paragraph 115, and paragraph 119. GRANTED as to the rest.
15		Edward Leamer Reply Expert Report (Dec. 10, 2012)	GRANTED
16		Edward Leamer Supplemental Expert Report (May 10, 2013)	GRANTED
17		Edward Leamer Supplemental Expert Report (July 12, 2013)	GRANTED
18		Edward Leamer Expert Report (Oct. 28, 2013)	DENIED as to Figure 2 on page 5. GRANTED as to the rest.
19		Edward Leamer Reply Expert Report (Dec. 11, 2013)	DENIED as to Table 1 on page 3. GRANTED as to the rest.
20	858	Cisneros Decl., Ex. J	GRANTED
21		Cisneros Decl., Ex. K	DENIED
22		Cisneros Decl., Ex. L	DENIED
23		Cisneros Decl., Ex. M	DENIED
24		Cisneros Decl., Ex. N	GRANTED
25		Cisneros Decl., Ex. Q	GRANTED
26		Cisneros Decl., Ex. S	DENIED
27		Cisneros Decl., Ex. T	GRANTED
28	860	Cisneros Decl., Ex. JJJ	GRANTED
		Cisneros Decl., Ex. DDD	GRANTED
	861	Harvey Decl., Ex. 86	DENIED up to and through the material sought to be sealed on page 18. GRANTED as to the rest.

II. MOTIONS TO REMOVE INCORRECTLY FILED DOCUMENTS

Also pending are various motions to remove incorrectly filed documents filed by the parties. All of these motions result from errors in redactions in the filing process, and all of the documents subject to these motions have been locked by the Clerk. None of these motions is opposed. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the following motions to remove incorrectly filed documents:


- **ECF No. 687:** The motion seeks to remove ECF No. 562-2. The corrected version of the document is available at ECF No. 688.
- **ECF No. 725:** This motion seeks to remove ECF No. 724. The corrected version of the document is available at ECF No. 726.
- **ECF No. 877:** This motion seeks to remove ECF No. 833-10. The corrected version of the document is available at ECF No. 871-6.
- **ECF No. 884:** This motion seeks to remove ECF No. 879-2 and ECF No. 880. The corrected versions of these documents are available to ECF No. 882 and ECF No. 883.
- **ECF No. 885:** This motion seeks to remove ECF No. 737-9. The corrected version of the document is available at ECF No. 886.
- **ECF No. 896:** This motion seeks to remove ECF Nos. 838-2, 825-8, 821-10, 822-8, 807, and 860-1. The corrected versions of these documents are available at ECF Nos. 862, 864, 866, and 897.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the administrative motions to seal are GRANTED IN PART and DENIED WITH PREJUDICE IN PART and the motions to remove incorrectly filed documents are GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 7, 2014


 LUCY H. KOH
 United States District Judge